

**Completeness Check for
Suriname R-PP dated May 24, 2013, revised June 24, 2013**

FMT, June 25, 2013

Suriname presented its Readiness Preparation Proposal (R-PP) for assessment by the FCPF Participants Committee (PC) at its 14th meeting held in March, 2013 in Washington, DC. At this meeting, the PC adopted resolution PC/14/2013/7 and allocated funding to Suriname to enable it to move ahead with the preparation for readiness. The PC requested Suriname to submit a revised R-PP addressing the key issues in the summary report prepared by the FMT included in the annex of the resolution.

Suriname submitted a revised R-PP to the FCPF FMT on May 24, 2013. The table below presents the main issues raised in the PC resolution PC/14/2013/7 and the responses provided in the revised R-PP. This analysis allows the FCPF FMT to assess whether the issues raised by the PC were addressed and the Delivery Partner to continue its due diligence process in view of making the Readiness Preparation grant available to the country.

Key issues identified in PC Resolution PC/14/2013/7	Responses in the revised R-PP	FMT Comments
1. Explore the use of simple indicators to assess forest degradation.	A discussion of monitoring methodologies for forest degradation that includes a consideration of successive levels of complexity is included in component 4b., pp. 123-4.	Item complete
2. Include, in collaboration with indigenous and tribal peoples representatives, in the work plan in component 1.c of the R-PP a process to identify the need for and to provide capacity building in government institutions with respect to indigenous and tribal peoples issues.	A new sub-section (1c.3) has been inserted to cover this item. In pp. 47-8, it outlines a process for joint decision-making on the design, implementation, and monitoring of a training program for multiple government agencies/bodies. This process has been budgeted for, although the bottom line looks a bit low.	Item complete
3. Revise the R-PP to outline a revised process to collaboratively design, together with indigenous and tribal peoples representatives: (a) a plan for their ongoing consultation and participation ensuring that sufficient budget is allocated for implementation of this plan; and (b) a budget line in the Project Document budget to support activities identified, managed and implemented by indigenous and tribal peoples	A new sub-section (1c.2) has been inserted to cover this item. In pp. 44-7, it discusses in detail the means for jointly agreeing on village-level FPIC protocols that would apply during Readiness. On FPIC, the UN-REDD Programme <i>Guidelines on Free, Prior and Informed Consent</i> are invoked, but the process outlined seems to go beyond what the guidelines require. A budget allocation for the implementation of a plan for ongoing consultation and participation of indigenous and tribal peoples has been identified, and that allocation appears to be sufficient. Otherwise, inclusion of a budget line in the UNDP Project	Item complete

representatives.	Document to support activities identified, managed and implemented by indigenous and tribal peoples themselves is mentioned as one among several options, not as a definite provision. However, the reasons for this are clearly explained; as they are a direct result of recent consultations with the representatives of the indigenous and tribal peoples that are likely to be involved in the process, and they are adequate.	
4. Revise the R-PP to reflect that the Saramaka Judgment of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights and indigenous and tribal peoples rights have implications for REDD+ in Suriname.	Sub-section 2c.1 (on p. 88) has been revised to include a literal restatement of the phrasing of this item in the Summary Report. While this does not convey whether the national authorities have a sense of what the "implications" of the Saramaka Judgement for REDD+ in Suriname will be, as a simple recognition of the existence of these implications, it is adequate.	Item complete
5. Revise the proposed options for a grievance redress mechanism that includes prompt effective remedies with possibility of appeal, in line with the draft UNDP/WB Guidelines on Grievance Mechanisms. In particular, outline the inclusive process to be undertaken to identify, assess, strengthen and/or establish a grievance mechanism, building on existing systems where feasible.	Sub-sections 1a.7 (on pp. 21-3) and 1c.4 (p. 49) have been revised to give a front-line role in grievance redress to the newly denominated "Major Groups Collective." The FGRM work is described in greater detail overall, and is adequately budgeted for.	Item complete
6. Revise the R-PP text related to the SESA process and the ESMF, in line with the FCPF Common Approach to Environmental and Social Safeguards for Multiple Delivery Partners.	Sub-section 2d.3 (on p. 88) has been revised to include the appropriate mentions of the FCPF Common Approach.	Item complete
7. Revise the governance section of the R-PP to include a discussion of potential REDD+ anti-corruption measures based on a literature review, and propose a study of feasible mitigation measures during R-PP implementation.	Text has been added to sub-section 2c.1 (on pp. 84-5) to reflect the GoS's commitment to fighting corruption in the execution of public actions in general, and in the implementation of REDD+ activities in particular. A REDD+ Corruption Risk Assessment (REDD+ CRA), following UN-REDD guidelines, is proposed to be carried out during R-PP implementation, and is adequately budgeted for.	Item complete