



Suriname REDD+ Project Board Meeting

Friday, 10 June 2016

Torarica – Banquet Hall

Minutes Prepared by: Ms. Rosaline Bruce.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Preface	3
Attendance List	4
Agenda	5
Proceedings	6
Welcome & Opening remarks	6
Review and adoption of the Agenda	6
Review and adoption of last Project Board Minutes	6
General Framework of the 3 Pillars	7
Update on Pillar III	7
Update On Pillar II	8
Update on Pillar I	8
Discussion	8
Comments and Agreement	10
Matters brought to the table	11
Conclusion	11
Appendix I – The General Framework of 3 Pillars presentation	12
Appendix II – Update on Pillar III presentation	16
Appendix III – Update on Pillar II presentation	20
Appendix IV - Questionnaire for Project Board Members	25

PREFACE

The main outcome of the previous board meeting held on February 5, 2016 was the acceptance and adoption of REDD+ Annual Work Plan for 2016 (AWP 2016).

On June 10, 2016 the follow up meeting was held to discuss the progress made thus far with the Annual Work Plan 2016. The meeting addressed the three main areas or components of the Annual Work Plan 2016 namely:

1. Strengthening human capacity and stakeholder collaboration
2. REDD+ business model and strategy
3. REDD+ implementation framework and tools

After analyzing the updates given the conclusion was drawn that the project appears to be lagging behind with regards to the targets as outlined in the Annual Work Plan. The rate of progress for the three pillars or components are below:

- Pillar I – 19.1 %
- Pillar II – 0.7 %
- Pillar III – 10.8%

It is imperative that Project meet the deadlines stipulated in the Annual Work Plan 2016. Therefore, the Management team along with the UNDP will arrange an in house meeting to address this problem.

It is the aim of the Project to have a good end of year review. Thus, Project Board Meeting will be held more frequently so that the Project Board is kept well informed as to the developments of the Project . The next Project Board Meeting is scheduled for August, 2016.

ATTENDANCE LIST:

NO.	ORGANISATION	NAME
1	PMU – REDD+	Madhawi Ramdin
2	PMU – REDD+	Marlon Hoogdorp
3	PMU – REDD+	Sirto-Yaba Aloema
4	PMU – REDD+	Karwofodi Silvia
5	PMU – REDD+	Santusha Mahabier
6	PMU – REDD+	N. Chiwaridro
7	SBB	Sarah Crabbe
8	SBB	Priscilla Miranda
9	SBB	Rene Somopawiro
10	SBB	Sara Svensson
11	NIMOS	Cedric Nelom
12	NIMOS	Donovan Bogor
13	UNDP	Alexis Armstrong
14	UNDP	Anuradha Khoenkhoen
15	UNDP	Bryan Drakenstein
16	Workers Union	Eric Feller
17	NGO	Rudie van Kanten
18	Local Authorities (DS & DC)	Chermine Wirjotaroeno
19	Business & Industries	Magunane Poetisi
20	REDD+ Assistant (Trio's)	Tawadi Pildas
21	REDD+ Assistant (Wajana's)	Arnold Arupa
22	REDD+ Assistant (Caraiben)	Josien Tokoe-Aloema
23	REDD+ Assistant (Saramaccaner's)	Steven Petrusi
24	REDD+ Assistant (Aucaners)	Alamoi Niafai
25	REDD+ Assistant (Aluku's)	Captain Dua Simons
26	REDD+ Assistant (Matuariers)	Wilson Willems
27	REDD+ Assistant (Kwinti's)	Harry Elloth
28	Min. Natural Resources	Mw. Coupain
29	Min. of Public Works	R. Sardjoe
30	Min. Spatial Planning, Land and Forest Management	Kaminie Tajib
31	Min. Spatial Planning, Land and Forest Management	P. Podrono
32	Min. Trade & Industry	R. Raveles
33	Min. Regional Development	Andoime Seedo
34	Min. Regional Development	W. Finisie
35	WWF	Jerrel Pinas
36	Meteorological Services	Sukarni Sallon-Mitro
37	RGB	Claudine Sakimin

Quorum present? Yes

AGENDA

REDD+ Project Board Meeting

Date:	Friday - June 10, 2016
Location:	Torarica - Banquet Hall
Time:	08:00 -14:00 h
08:00 – 08:30	Registration and breakfast
08:30 – 08:35	Welcome – Marlon Hoogdorp
08:35 – 08:40	Opening – Cedric Nelom
08:40 – 08:41	Adoption of the agenda
08:41 – 08:50	Announcements
08:50 – 09:15	Examination of the Results from the Project Board meeting dated 05 February, 2016 – Madhawi Ramdin
09:15 – 09:30	Discussion
09:30 – 09:45	<i>Break</i>
09:45 – 10:00	General Framework of the 3 Pillars – Madhawi Ramdin
10:00 - 10:30	Update Pillar III: Implementation framework and tools – Priscilla Miranda
10:30 – 10:45	Discussion
10:45 – 11:15	Update Pillar II: REDD+ Strategy – Santusha Mahabier
11:15 – 11:30	Discussion
11:30 – 12:00	Update Pillar I: Human Capacities and stakeholder engagement REDD+ Strategy – Marlon Hoogdorp.
12:00 – 12:45	Discussion
12:45 – 12:55	Comments from the round table.
12:55 – 13:00	Closing - Cedric Nelom
13:00 - 14:00	<i>Lunch</i>

PROCEEDINGS

The Project Board meeting ran behind schedule. It commenced at approximately 9:00hrs.

WELCOME

Mr. Hoogdorp invited all to take their places and officially brought the meeting to order.

OPENING

The meeting was then chaired by Mr. Cedric Nelom who gave some brief opening remarks on what was accomplished at the previous Project Board meeting held on February 5, 2016. One of the main accomplishments of that meeting was the approval of the Annual Work Plan for 2016. At this meeting they will review the accomplishments based on the planned actions as outlined in the Annual Work Plan 2016 and the way forward.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The Project Board members were given a few minutes to review the Agenda items to see if there is need for additions or suggestions. None were given. The chairman, however, did ask that due to the late start that the break be postponed to the end of Update on Pillar III presentation.

REVIEW OF THE PROJECT BOARD MEETING MINUTES DATED 05 FEBRUARY, 2016 AND THE ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES.

Electronic copies of the Project Board meeting minutes dated 05 February, 2016 was sent to each Project Board member two weeks in advance. Hard copies of the document was also available to each Project Board member.

The chairman reviewed the layout of the minutes and then allowed for comments and remarks to be made on each item in the document.

The following suggestions were made:

- Typo error - The answer should be *forest information* and not *forestry information* as is seen in the answer to the first question found in the Question and Answers section of the Projects Board Meeting Minutes February 5, 2016.
- Typo error – the abbreviation NMFS should be NFMS found also in the Question and Answer section of the Project Board Meeting Minutes February 2016.
- For future reports the question and answer section should be numbered.
- Need for consistency with font type, font size, abbreviations etc..

The minutes were then adopted.

GENERAL FRAMEWORK OF THE 3 PILLARS ¹

Ms. Ramdin gave an overview of the results and challenges the project has faced for the first two quarters. These are as follow:

Results

- REDD+ had a successful Audit for 2015. The auditor requested that payments be done in a timely manner especially at the end of the year (payments should not go over into a new year).
- Three new staff were added to the team namely; Marlon Hoogdorp (Communications Officer), Sara Svensson (REDD+ Officer) and Eric Sostorjoedo (logistic officer).
- SBB updated the forest cover and deforestation maps and they are now available to all the Government Ministries.
- NFMS had hired a consultant but recruitment of a local consultant would be done since Suriname has the human capacity.
- Outreach programs were done in Kwinti and Galibi with the help of Conservation International.
- Drafting of the Terms of Reference and Contracts for REDD+ Assistants Collective were done so that these can be deploy.

Challenges

- Economic crisis in Suriname.
- Administrative Procedures.
- Communication of the REDD+ Mechanism and its potential.

UPDATE PILLAR III: IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK AND TOOLS²

Ms. Miranda explained that although Pillar III is under consideration some of the activities in Pillar II are also included. In this presentation eight milestones were discussed. These were:

1. Roadmaps
2. Activity data
3. Emission factors
4. Deforestation map
5. Drivers of Deforestation study
6. Forest Reference Levels
7. National forest Inventory Proposal
8. National Forest Monitoring System database and geoportal.

¹ For presentation refer to Appendix I

² For presentation refer to Appendix II

Break

UPDATE PILLAR II: REDD+ STRATEGY ³

Ms. Mohabier presented on the second pillar. In her presentation the areas stated below were discussed.

- REDD+ overall
- Relationship between background studies and REDD+ strategy
- Status of background studies
- Stakeholder analysis
- Output brainstorming session REDD + strategy
- Next steps

Ms. Mohabier asked that the Project Board members fill out the *Questionnaire*⁴ which was among the documents they had received.

UPDATE PILLAR I: HUMAN CAPACITIES AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT REDD+ STRATEGY ⁵

Mr. Hoogdorp gave this presentation. It was highlighted that a lot of stakeholders are already involved but the process is an ongoing one. Since this is the case the process is constantly under evaluation to measure the effectiveness and efficiency of the process.

The plan of action, therefore, is as follows:

- Evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness
- Concrete description of the expected contribution
- Establish concrete goals
- Achieve better interaction

DISCUSSION

QUESTION AND ANSWER

1. Any updates on the Sipalawini training?

No not as yet. But it will be resolved in the future.

2. Is there a representative for the Locono people?

Discussions were done but it's still a work in progress.

³ For presentation refer to Appendix III

⁴ For Questionnaire refer to Appendix IV

⁵ For presentation refer to Appendix V

- 3. What is the Government involvement in the REDD+ project? Are they really interested in the project? It is the opinion of many that the Government has a negative view of the project and as a result they are giving little or no support. This is clearly seen in the matter relating to Land Rights.**

It was explained that such views are misconceived. The government is very much involved and is supporting the project. However, the current system and laws that are used by the government may be out dated and correcting these problems will take time. REDD+ is the cart wheel behind such issues as Land Rights, Community forest etc...

- 4. Many studies were done. Should the results from these studies be seen? For example what are the main causes of deforestation in various areas?**

SBB do have such information on paper and this information is forwarded to the Government who can then make policies.

- 5. There is need for more representative for all districts and communities. Reference is being made to the DR and RR but these are not present in all communities especially the interior. What should be done?**

The DR and RR are the means by which the government used to decentralize information. It is the community who selects and vote these representative in. Therefore, the community should use these mechanisms once they are in place. If the system isn't working then reports can be made to the Ministry of Regional Development where a re-evaluation can be done as to how to better the system.

- 6. The advice given by the Ministry of Regional Development is not binding. Does this mean that the advice can be ignored or by-passed?**

The Ministry of Regional Development gives advice and in some cases a variety of options are given but it is left on the community to decide what to do. The Ministry is interested in the sustainable use of the forest. For example the community can be given two options to use the forest for wood or agriculture. The choice is theirs but the advice was given.

The Ministry is not the only organization that gives advice that is not binding.

- 7. Would the studies scheduled for the rest of the year be desktop studies or field studies and would the results of these studies be added to the REDD+ Strategy?**

All studies will be conducted with stakeholders in the field.

The REDD+ strategy should be finalized by the second quarter of 2017. Before results of the studies are added to the strategy it must first be discussed.

- 8. Why is an International consultant needed to do the Corruption Risk Assessment Study?**

It is best to use a neutral person to do this study so as to have an impartial analysis. Point to note is that the International consultant will work along with a Local consultant.

- 9. REDD+ Assistants are not satisfied with the treatment that they are receiving. They are doing their work but they have no authority behind them. They preach conservation but at the same time permits and concessions are given for the misuse of the forest. Who has our backs?**

REDD+ are aware of these matters. Arrangements are in place to review the work of the REDD+ Assistants and also the financial aspect. The project promises that a change would come but there is need for faith and patience.

COMMENTS / AGREEMENTS

- UNDP expressed concerns on the progress made by the Project to date. The progress update when compared with deliverables as are highlighted in the Annual Work Plan 2016 the figures are shocking. The deliverables are 19.1% for Pillar I, 0.7% for pillar II and 10.8% for Pillar III.

The Project Board and the Management of the Project need to keep a careful eye on these issues and address them. The Project Board needs to hold the project accountable for the deliverables.

Why are the results so low? Is there a possibility that the project has overestimated the cost, are the result being achieve at a cheaper rate or the work is not moving at the pace it should.

If the donors are not satisfied then we jeopardize the Project, UNDP and the Government.

- The Project Manager highlighted that in the first quarter the project had a bit of a rough start but now with the addition of new personnel and more to come the project should come on schedule.
- Main benefactors of the deforestation activities are the Government , the Maroons and the Business sector. It was recommended that the Business sector be more involved in the issues affecting REDD+ project.
- A time schedule of Project activities should have been included in the presentations. It was suggested that presenters draft up a report showing dates and the timeline for the various activities.
- Consideration should be given to the form of communication that would be used to reach persons in the interior since many of the methods mentioned are not applicable to them.

- Proper handing over of duties should be done when an employee leaves. If the employee has personnel or clients under his / her care these should be informed as to who would be his / her replacement and a proper introduction be given.
- Mr. Hoogdorp was assigned to arrange a meeting with the REDD+ Assistants and the representative of Regional Development to discuss the issues affecting the REDD+ Assistants.
- Farewell was in order for Mr. Jerrel Pinas. However, the curtains are not fully down with his new post at WWF he would be working closely with other REDD+ project thus he can share the knowledge learnt.

COMMENTS FROM THE ROUND TABLE.

- Non-Governmental Organization representative promised to send two letters dealing with subject matters: 1 Community forest and 2 Scattered grounds transferred to agricultural purposes. These letters will be sent to NIMOS and UNDP.
- In an effort to improve the results of the REDD+ project as outlined in the Annual Work Plan 2016 representative of NIMOs and UNDP will meet to see what are the obstacles that are keeping the project back.

CONCLUSION

In closing the chairman suggested that the next Project Board meeting be scheduled for August 2016. At the follow up meeting it is hoped that the following matters are discussed:

- Update on what the Project has done.
- Pressing matters
- Things hindering the Project.

The meeting was adjourn at approximately 14:30 hours.

LUNCH

APPENDIX I



Content

- Results Jan. – June 2016
- Challenges



Detailed achievements and results: National REDD+ management arrangements

- Successful project audit for the fiscal year 2015 conducted by an independent auditor; T&H Groep Accountants en Belasting adviseurs
- Recruitment of REDD+ officer (SBB), a new communications officer and a logistics officer (PMU)
- RBM training conducted
- Contracts with REDD+ Assistants Collective (RAC) are being processed



Detailed achievements and results:
Consultation, Participation and Outreach

The RAC was trained on REDD+ and sustainable village development



Detailed achievements and results:
Consultation, Participation and Outreach

Successful krutu's in Kwinti community and Galibi.



Detailed achievements and results:
Consultation, Participation and Outreach




Detailed achievements and results: Consultation, Participation and Outreach

Support to the Community Engagement training for government officials of the WISE REDD+ project



Detailed achievements and results: Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance

- Forest cover and deforestation maps for 2000, 2000-2009, 2009-2013, 2013-2014 version 1 available and being validated.
- Expressions of interest have been evaluated. Preparation for request for proposals ongoing.



Detailed achievements and results: REDD+ Strategy Options

- Brainstorm session with stakeholders was conducted
- Stakeholder analysis conducted and ToRs developed for several consultancies



Detailed achievements and results: National Forest Monitoring System

- First draft of the NFMS roadmap finalized.



Challenges


- Economic crisis in Suriname emphasizes the need for collaboration
- Administrative procedures: length, clarity, room for improvement, ...
- Communication of the REDD+ mechanism and its potential




Thank you.



APPENDIX II




Milestones 2016



- 1) National Forest Monitoring System (NFMS) roadmap
- 2) Activity Data - 3rd party review deforestation maps
- 3) Emission Factors - best possible carbon stock estimation
- 4) Deforestation map 2014-2015
- 5) Drivers of deforestation (DDFDB+) study
- 6) Forest Reference (Emissions) Level (FREL/FRL)
- 7) National Forest Inventory (NFI) proposal
- 8) NFMS Database & Geportal



Milestone 1: NFMS Roadmap



Milestone 2: Activity Data

Landgebruiksaanalyse
+
3rd party review
bestaande kaarten



- Legend:
- Urban
 - Agriculture
 - Pasture
 - Mining
 - Infrastructure
 - Secondary vegetation
 - Wetlands
 - Others
 - Not observed

Kaart opgesteld door:
FCHAL, CELOS, M-GUIS, GMD, MAB,
Ministerie van NL, Ministerie van L&V,
Ministerie van NH, Ministerie van OW,
Ministerie van RD, Ministerie van R&B,
SPB




Milestone 3: Emission Factors


Activity	Emission and duration			
	Year	Start	End	Region
Technical work plan	2017	2017	2017	Region
Engagement by CATIE in Netherlands	2017-2018	2017	2018	Region
Development of REDD+ activities	2017-2018	2017	2018	Region
Baseline studies	2017-2018	2017	2018	Region
Development of options to enhance the forest lands carbon stocks	2017-2018	2017	2018	Region
Technical report: emissions estimates, based on CATIE in Costa Rica	2017-2018	2017	2018	Region
Final report: emissions estimates, based on the forest lands carbon stocks in the study area	2017-2018	2017	2018	Region
Final report: emissions estimates, based on the forest lands carbon stocks in the study area	2017-2018	2017	2018	Region




Milestone 4: Deforestation map 2014-2015



Milestone 5: Drivers of deforestation study



Milestone 6: Forest Reference Level



Milestone 7: NFI proposal

NFI pilot project methodology was 3rd party reviewed

Exchange planned with NFI Brazil


NFI and Emission Factor conference planned in September

Planned collaboration with UTSN project



Milestone 8: NFMS Database and Geoportal

First concept note



Dank u!
Vragen?




APPENDIX III




Inhoud

- REDD+ algemeen
- Relatie tussen achtergrond studies en REDD+ strategie
- Status van achtergrond studies
- Stakeholder analyse
- Output brainstorm sessie REDD+ strategie
- Vervolg stappen

REDD+ is een tool voor duurzame ontwikkeling




Climate Compatible Development



Strategie gebaseerd op economische groei en sociale ontwikkeling ondanks de bedreigingen van klimaatverandering, terwijl emissies worden vermindert en carbon wordt opgeslagen

Achtergrond studies en REDD+ strategie



ToR's	Type consultant	Status
1. Analyse van de landgebruiks- en grondenrechten	Legal consultant/ consultant team	Gefinaliseerd; In de loop van volgend week geadvertiseerd en gedeeld binn netwerken.
2. Ontwikkelen van een Nationale Visie en Strategie voor REDD+ in Suriname	Consortium van deskundigen (nationale & internationale consultant)	Gefinaliseerd; In de loop van volgend week geadvertiseerd en gedeeld binn netwerken.
3. Onderzoek naar oorzaken van ontbossing en bos degradatie	Consortium van deskundigen (nationale/ internationale consultants)	ToR gefinaliseerd; Geadverteerd op 17 - 31 mei; 30 inzendingen; Evaluatie en short list op 6 juni Geplande start datum voor co is begin juli – studie afgerond c
4. Onderzoek naar innovatieve, economische kansen	Nationale consultant	2 ^{de} versie; In afwachting op input vanuit P H.I. om te kunnen adverteren.
5. Corruptie risico analyse	Internationale consultant	1 ^{ste} versie

Stakeholder analyse

Voor elke stakeholder:

- Raakvlak en relevantie
- Betrokkenheid REDD+ proces
- Type support
- Bezwaren, bedreigingen, uitdagingen (a.d.h.v. gesprekken specificeren)

Stakeholder analyse		
Stakeholder	Categorie	Interesse
Kabinet President	Overheid	Duurzame Ontwikkeling
Planburo	Overheid	Duurzame Ontwikkeling
Ministerie RGB	Overheid	Natuurbescherming, Ontwikkeling bossector, Ruimtelijke Ordening
Ministerie NH	Overheid	Benutting natuurlijke hulpbronnen
Ministerie LVV	Overheid	Landbouw grond
Ministerie OW	Overheid	Ruimtelijke ordening, infrastructuur
Ministerie RO	Overheid	Regionale ontwikkeling
Ministerie Fin	Overheid	Financieel aspect
Ministerie HI	Overheid	Groei en ontwikkeling, standaarden, IE
Ministerie TCT	Overheid	Toerisme ontwikkeling
Districts Commissariaat	Overheid	Regionaal bestuur en ontwikkeling
SBB	Overheid	Duurzaam bosgebruik en beheer
GMD	Overheid	Data verzameling en vergunningen mijnbouw
VIDS	Inheemsen & marrons	Rechten van inheemsen
VSG	Inheemsen & marrons	Rechten van Saamaka

Stakeholder analyse		
Stakeholder	Categorie	Interesse
Gemeenschappen/dorpen	Inheemsen & marrons	(Duurzame) dorpsontwikkeling
OIS	NGO	(Duurzame) dorpsontwikkeling
Wan Mama Pikin	NGO	(Duurzame) dorpsontwikkeling
CI-Suriname	NGO	Natuurbehoud, groene ontwikkeling
TBI Suriname	NGO	Duurzaam bosgebruik en dorpsontwikkeling
ACT	NGO	Natuurbescherming, dorpsontwikkeling
WWF Guianas	NGO	Natuurbescherming
ASHU/PHS/ houtplatforms	Private sector	Houtwinning
Gecertificeerde houtbedrijven	Private sector	Duurzame houtwinning
Grootschalige mijnbouw bedrijven	Private sector	Goud/grondstof winning
Kleinschalige goudzoekers	Private sector	Goudwinning
Tour-operators	Private sector	Inkomsten toerisme
Lodgehouders	Private sector	Inkomsten toerisme
SBF/SBC	Private sector	Duurzame bedrijfsvoering
AdeKUS	Academia	Wetenschappelijk onderzoek, accreditatie
CELOS	Academia	Wetenschappelijk onderzoek

Brainstorm sessie m.b.t. REDD+ strategie

Datum: 21 April 2016

Instanties: Ministerie van RO, LVV, HI, RGB, Plan Bureau, CI en SBB


Doelstellingen:

- Meer duidelijkheid van het proces voor de ontwikkeling van een nationale REDD+ strategie in Suriname;
- Met aanwezige stakeholders bespreken over bestaande en geplande activiteiten/informatie van hun organisatie die kan worden gekoppeld aan een nationale strategie;
- Bespreken van de doelstellingen van een REDD+ strategie;
- Bespreken hoe dit proces van strategie ontwikkeling kan worden afgestemd op de prioriteiten van de nationale ontwikkeling.

14

Brainstorm sessie m.b.t. REDD+ strategie (2)

- Gezocht naar links tussen de werkzaamheden van de verschillende instanties en de REDD+ strategie.
- Gediscussieerd over hoe de REDD+ strategie kan passen binnen de nationale ontwikkelingsdoelen.



15

Vervolg stappen


- Adverteren van de ToR voor de analyse van de landgebruiks- en grondenrechten & ToR voor het ontwikkelen van een Nationale Visie en Strategie voor REDD+ in Suriname.
 - 2 weken lang (3 dagen/week) in de krant.
 - Wordt geplaatst op de website van NIMOS, UNDP & SBB.
 - Wordt gedeeld binnen onze netwerken
- Finaliseren en adverteren van ToR voor onderzoek naar innovatieve, economische kansen & ToR voor corruptie risico analyse.
- Evaluatie en selectie proces van de inzendingen voor de verschillende studies.

16

Vervolg stappen (2)

- Gesprekken plannen en uitvoeren met andere relevante stakeholders z.a.: Planbureau, Ministeries (NH, HI, RGB, RO en Financien) VSG, Toerisme sector (tour operators), AdeKUS, CELOS, TBI, CI, ACT, WWF.
- Verder uitwerken van de stakeholder analyse.
- Uitwerken van een capaciteitsversterkingscomponent (stakeholders in staat stellen om te participeren in het strategie formuleringsproces, en in de analyse van oorzaken van ontbossing en bos degradatie).

11



DRAFT

APPENIX IV



Naam instantie:.....

1. Wat betekent REDD+ voor u?

.....
.....
.....
.....

2. Wat is de visie/ zijn de doelstellingen van uw organisatie voor Suriname?

.....
.....
.....
.....

3. Op welke manier is uw instantie tot nu toe betrokken geweest bij REDD+?

Uw instantie is een partner in het REDD+ voorbereidingsproces

Uw instantie is deel van een REDD+ body (bv. PB, MGC, RAC)

Uw instantie is alleen aanwezig geweest bij evenementen (workshops, meetings, gesprekken enz.) maar geen deel van een REDD+ body

Uw instantie is slecht/niet betrokken geweest tot nu toe

4. A. Hoe relevant is REDD+ voor het werk van uw instantie?

5 – direct relevant

4 – indirect relevant

3 – neutraal

2 – nauwelijks relevant

1 – niet relevant

B. Motiveer uw antwoord.

.....
.....
.....

5. A. Wat voor invloed denkt u dat REDD+ kan hebben op uw instantie en de bijbehorende doelstellingen?

- 5 – heel goede invloed
- 4 – goede invloed
- 3 – niet goed/niet slecht
- 2 – slechte invloed
- 1 – zeer slechte invloed

B. Motiveer uw antwoord

.....
.....
.....

6. A. Vindt u dat u/uw instantie in staat is om te participeren en bij te dragen in het nationaal REDD+ proces?

- Ja
- Nee

B. **Indien Ja:** Wat voor bijdrage denkt u dat uw instantie kan leveren aan het nationaal REDD+ proces?

- Informatie bron
- Facilitator voor engagement met specifieke groepen
- Potentiele rol in implementatie van de REDD+ strategie
- Ondersteuning bij lobbyen
- Besluitvorming
- Anders.....

C. **Indien Nee:** Wat zou nodig zijn om u in staat te stellen om te participeren/uw rol te vervullen?

.....
.....
.....

7. Wat zouden volgens u(w instantie) de doelstellingen moeten zijn van de nationale REDD+ strategie?

.....
.....
.....
.....

8. Hoe denkt u dat REDD+ geïntegreerd kan worden in nationale ontwikkelingsplanning?

.....
.....
.....
.....

9. Heeft u zorgpunten m.b.t. REDD+ in Suriname? Zo ja, noteer de 3 grootste zorgpunten. Hoe ziet u de oplossingen daarvoor?

.....
.....
.....
.....

10. Wat is een Nationaal Bosmonitoringssysteem (NFMS)?

.....
.....

.....
.....

11. Wat zou de rol van uw organisatie kunnen zijn in het gebruik en het opzetten van het Nationaal Bosmonitoringssysteem voor Suriname?

.....
.....
.....
.....

12. A. Vindt u dat u/uw instantie een rol heeft in het gebruik en het opzetten van het

Nationaal Bosmonitoringssysteem voor Suriname?

Ja

Nee

B. Indien Ja: Hoe denkt u dat uw instantie betrokken kan zijn in het Nationaal Bosmonitoringssysteem?

Informatie bron

Facilitator voor engagement met specifieke groepen
Potentiele rol in implementatie van NFMS

Ondersteuning bij lobbyen

Ondersteuning in de financiering

Besluitvorming

Gebruik van de

data Anders.....

C. Indien Nee: Wat zou nodig zijn om u in staat te stellen om te participeren/uw rol te vervullen?

.....
.....
.....

APPENDIX V



Update Pilaar 1:

Het betrekken van functionele groepen voor het begrip over REDD+

Stand van zaken

- Een groot deel reeds geïdentificeerd en betrokken en het is een ongoing process



Update Pilaar 1:

Plan van aanpak

- Evaluatie van de efficiëntie en effectiviteit
- Concrete omschrijving van de verwachte bijdrage
- Concrete doelen vaststellen
- Betere interactie realiseren



Update Pilaar 1:
REDD+ Assistants Collectief (RAC)
Werzaamheden

- Een gedegen communicatie, regelmatig contact
- Het inwinnen van feedback
- Budgetten voor de eerste krutu's
- Vragen en onduidelijkheden m.b.t. uitbetalingen
- Inkopen van communicatie materiaal (veld rapportage)

WE ZIJN HET BOS 

Update Pilaar 1:
REDD+ Assistants Collectief (RAC)

- Training & Guidance Plan Ti – afgerond en goedgekeurd
- Training on hold vanwege stagnatie veldwerkzaamheden
- Administratieve financiële procedure komt opgang
- Identificatie voor behoefte aan werkbespreking
- Gelegenheid voor Krutu doet zich voor.....

WE ZIJN HET BOS 

Update Pilaar 1: REDD+ KRUTU #1

- Stam: Kwinti
- R+A: Harry Ellioth
- Locatie: Witagron
- Deelnemede Dorpen: Witagron + Kaimanston
- Datum: 29 + 30 maart 2016
- Aantal deelnemers: 31 / 30

WE ZIJN HET BOS



Update Pilaar 1:
REDD+ KRUTU #2

- Stam: Carina + Arrowak
- R+A: Josien Tokoe-Aloema
- Locatie: Galibi
- Deelnemede Dorpen: Christiaankondre + Langamankondre
- Datum: 27 mei 2016
- Aantal deelnemers: 73

WE ZIJN HET BOS 



DRAFT

REDD+ KRUTU #3?

- Stam: Saamaka
- R+A: Merona Godilep + Nelson Adose
- Dorpen: Pokigron + Atjoni

Veldbezoek:

- Reeds veldwerk en consultaties verricht
- Wachten eerst op finalisering betalings procedure

Alle overige KRUTU's:

- Budgetten reeds ingediend / worden aangepast
- Data's worden gepland
- Agenda van activiteiten voor 2e helft van 2016









Update Pilaar 1:

REDD+ Assistants Collectief (RAC) Plan van aanpak

- Communicatie verbeteren en intensiveren
- Voorbespreking: uitbetaling Febr, verslag krutu's, uitdagingen krutu's identificeren, datum 2 daagse werkbespreking afstemmen, contract en ToR bespreken, betalings procedures nader uitleggen.
- 2 daagse werkbespreking: ondertekening contract, communicatie materiaal verstrekken, te houden Krutu's plannen, werkplannen samenstellen, budget begeleiding, datum en het doel v/d volgende training afstemmen. Duidelijke omschrijving en uitleg van hun taken (behalve KRUTU'S) binnen REDD+











Update Pilaar 1:

Communicatie – Werkzaamheden:

- Zichtbaar zijn via meest gebruikte media (Cel+PC) – Social Media
- Zoveel mogelijk activiteiten plaatsen, vrienden uitnodigen etc
- Facebook 457-2081/ FB page 127-574 Insta 1-2940 Twit 15-140, response en vragen
- R+A voelen zich nu geïnformeerd en betrokken, gemotiveerd om zelf te posten/spin off.
- Bosbouw Perspectief – under review
- Radio Interview Boskopoe/ verslag Times mbt Krutu Kwinti, deelname Jongeren Informatie beurs met Tv exposure en 1500 flyer handouts

Update Pilaar 1:

Communicatie – spreading the word

Follow us on social media en visit our website

- Facebook: Redd Suriname
- Facebook Fanpage: Redd+ suriname
- Twitter: @reddsuriname
- Instagram: reddplus_suriname
- Tumblr: reddplussu.tumblr.com
- Website: www.surinameredd.org
- www.nimos.org



Update Pilaar 1:
Communicatie – planning

- Geïntegreerde aanpak social media en internet exposure
- Productie van een digitale nieuwsbrief
- Modificatie website
- Upgrade radio programma Bosbouw Perspectief
- Finalisering nwe (5) video producties
- Nationale bewustwordings media campagne
- Media uitingen voor lokale gemeenschappen



GRAN TANGI
Communication Officer
Marlon Hoogdorp
Communication.redd@nimos.org
597 8827818

DRAF